

## e) Quarks

In the quark sector we need to distinguish between  
no electroweak eigenstates = states for which  
generalised/gauged kinetic terms are diagonal  
no mass eigenstates

\* El.-weak eigenstates: 3 families of quarks  
( $u', d'$ ) ( $c', s'$ ) ( $t', b'$ ) in representations:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ d' \end{pmatrix}_L \quad L_2 = \begin{pmatrix} c' \\ s' \end{pmatrix}_L \quad L_3 = \begin{pmatrix} t' \\ b' \end{pmatrix}_L \\ R_{1+} = u'_R \quad R_{2+} = c'_R \quad R_{3+} = t'_R \\ R_{1-} = d'_R \quad R_{2-} = s'_R \quad R_{3-} = b'_R \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} T = \frac{1}{2} \\ SU(2) \\ \\ SU(2) \\ \text{singlets} \end{array}$$

Compare: For leptonic sector without  $\nu_R$  only 1 right-handed field  $R_1 = e_R$  etc.

\* Hypercharge follows from el.-magn. charge

$$\begin{array}{l} L_N: \quad Y = \frac{1}{6} \quad \rightarrow \quad Q_{u'} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad Q_{d'} = -\frac{1}{3} \\ R_{N+}: \quad Y = \frac{2}{3} \\ R_{N-}: \quad Y = -\frac{1}{3} \end{array}$$

→ Gauge invariant kinetic Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{quark}} = \bar{L}_N i \gamma^\mu D_\mu L_N + \bar{R}_{N+} i \gamma^\mu D_\mu R_{N+} + \bar{R}_{N-} i \gamma^\mu D_\mu R_{N-}$$

Note: With this  $Y$  assignment  $U(1)_Y$  is free of anomalies (see tutorial!)

## Quark masses

Possible Yukawas are constrained by hypercharge

\* Down-type quarks require masses in same manner as leptons:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{quark}, \Phi}^- = -\sqrt{2} \left( \bar{L}_N \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ Y = -\frac{1}{6}}}{f_{NM}^{(-)}} \Phi \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ Y = \frac{1}{2}}}{R_{M-}} + \bar{R}_{M-} \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ Y = -\frac{1}{3}}}{f_{NM}^{*-}} \Phi^\dagger L_N \right)$$

\* Up-type quarks:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \bar{L} : Y = -\frac{1}{6} \\ R_{M+} : Y = \frac{2}{3} \end{array} \right\} \text{ need scalar with } Y = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ i.e. } T = \frac{1}{2} \text{ rep.}$$

Solution:  $\phi^c = i\sigma_2 \phi^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^+ \\ \phi_2^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2^+ \\ -\phi_1^+ \end{pmatrix}$

$\Rightarrow Y(\phi^c) = -\frac{1}{2}, T = \frac{1}{2}$  ✓

Proof: Use  $i\sigma_2 \sigma^* i\sigma_2 = \sigma$  to show  $i\sigma_2 (\exp \frac{i}{2} \alpha \cdot \sigma)^* = \exp(i \alpha \cdot \sigma) \cdot i\sigma_2$  ✓

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{quark}, \Phi}^+ = -\sqrt{2} \left( \bar{L}_N \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ Y = \frac{1}{6}}}{f_{NM}^{(+)}} \phi^c \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ Y = \frac{2}{3}}}{R_{M+}} + \text{h.c.} \right)$$

SSB:  $\phi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \phi_0^c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{quark}, m} = - \left( \bar{u}_L, \bar{c}_L, \bar{t}_L \right) \mathcal{Y}^+ \begin{pmatrix} u_R \\ c_R \\ t_R \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$- \left( \bar{d}_L, \bar{s}_L, \bar{b}_L \right) \mathcal{Y}^- \begin{pmatrix} d_R \\ s_R \\ b_R \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.}$$

where:  $\mathcal{Y}^+ \equiv \mathcal{Y}_{NM}^+ = v \mathcal{Y}^{(+)}$   
 $\mathcal{Y}^- = v \mathcal{Y}^{(-)}$  } 3x3 complex matrices

Mass eigenstates:

Diagonalise

$$g^{(+)} \rightarrow g_{(D)}^+ = V_{(+)}^\dagger g^{(+)} U_{(+)} = \begin{pmatrix} m_\nu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g^{(-)} \rightarrow g_{(D)}^- = V_{(-)}^\dagger g^{(-)} U_{(-)} = \begin{pmatrix} m_d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{pmatrix}$$

Eigenstates:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ c \\ t \end{pmatrix}_R = U_{(+)}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ c' \\ t' \end{pmatrix}_R, \quad \begin{pmatrix} u \\ c \\ t \end{pmatrix}_L = V_{(+)}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ c' \\ s' \end{pmatrix}_L$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}_R = U_{(-)}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix}_R, \quad \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}_L = V_{(-)}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix}_L$$

Note: Due to appearance of  $V_{(+)}$  &  $V_{(-)}$  diagonalisation not compatible w/  $SU(2)$  doublet form.

EW Quark interactions  $\leftrightarrow$  from gauged kinetic terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{quark}}^{(I)} = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} (\bar{J}^\mu W_\mu + \bar{J}^{\mu\dagger} W_\mu^\dagger) - e \bar{J}^\mu A_\mu - \frac{g}{2\cos\theta_w} \bar{J}_\mu^N Z_\mu$$

\* charged weak current:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}^\mu &= 2 \bar{L}_N \gamma^\mu \sigma_+ L_N = 2 (\bar{u}' \bar{c}' \bar{E}')_L \gamma^\mu \begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix}_L \\ &= (\bar{u} \bar{c} \bar{E}) \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) V \begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$V = V_{(+)}^{\dagger} V_{(-)}$$

Cabibbo - Kobayashi - Maskawa  
(CKM) matrix

The CKM matrix leads to flavour-changing weak charged currents (see below)

Note: In lepton sector w/out  $\nu_R$ :  $V = \mathbb{1}$

$\rightarrow$  did get  $V_{(-)}$  analogue, but no  $V_{(+)}$  because need not need to introduce mass eigenstates for neutrinos (more precisely: all neutrinos are mass degenerate)

$\rightarrow$   $V_{-}$  absorbed into definition of neutrinos

\* el. - magn. current:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Find: } J_{e.m.}^{\mu} &= -\frac{1}{3} (\bar{d}' \ \bar{s}' \ \bar{b}') \gamma^{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} (\bar{u}' \ \bar{c}' \ \bar{b}') \gamma^{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ c \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= (q' \rightarrow q) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{* neutral: } J_{n}^{\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{u} \ \bar{c} \ \bar{t}) \gamma^{\mu} \left(1 - \gamma_5 - \frac{1}{3} \sin^2 \Theta\right) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ c \\ t \end{pmatrix} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} (\bar{d} \ \bar{s} \ \bar{b}) \gamma^{\mu} \left(1 - \gamma_5 - \frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \Theta\right) \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow$  no flavour changing el. - magn. current

$\rightarrow$  no flavour changing neutral current

= Glashow - Iliopoulos - Maiani (GIM) mechanism

## A closer look at the CKM matrix

$$V = V_+^\dagger V_- \quad V = V^\dagger \quad \text{unitary}$$

Consider theory w/  $N$  families  $\Rightarrow 2N$  quark fields

A unitary  $N \times N$  matrix has  $N^2$  real parameters.

Some of them are redundant:

$\Rightarrow$  each quark field absorbs 1 real phase because

kinetic terms  $\bar{\psi}_i i \not{\partial} \psi_i$  invariant under  $\psi_i \rightarrow e^{i\alpha_i} \psi_i$

$\Rightarrow$  out of these  $2N$  phases, one overall rotation

$$q_{iL} \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} q_{iL}, \quad q_{iR} \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} q_{iR} \quad \text{leaves } J^T \text{ inv.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \# \text{ d.o.f. of } V = N^2 - (2N - 1) = N^2 - 2N + 1$$

Ex.:  $N = 2 \Rightarrow 1$  parameter  $\leftrightarrow$  rotation by 1 angle

$N = 3 \Rightarrow 4$  real parameters

$\Rightarrow V$  can be written as real orthogonal  $3 \times 3$  matrix  
+ 1 complex phase

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{uc} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & s_2 \\ 0 & -s_2 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_3 & 0 & s_3 e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_3 e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & s_1 & 0 \\ -s_1 & c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{w/ : } c_i = \cos \Theta_i, \quad s_i = \sin \Theta_i$$

$\Rightarrow$  pairwise family mixing + 1 phase  $\delta$

Note: We will see that the complex phase is responsible for CP violation in EW sector.

⇒ CP violation requires at least 3 families!

\* Experimentally: Mixing decreases with distance in family space:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 |V_{ud}| = 0.975 \quad |V_{cs}| = 0.974 \quad |V_{tb}| = 0.999 \\
 |V_{us}| = 0.22 \quad \longleftarrow \text{1-2 mixing} \\
 |V_{cb}| = 0.04 \quad \longleftarrow \text{2-3 mixing} \\
 |V_{ub}| = 0.003 \quad \longleftarrow \text{1-3 mixing}
 \end{array}$$

$$\longleftrightarrow \theta_1 \gg \theta_2 \gg \theta_3$$

\* Unitarity constraint:  $V^\dagger V = \mathbb{1}$  implies:

$$V_{ub}^* V_{ud} + V_{cb}^* V_{cd} + V_{tb}^* V_{td} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a + b + c = 0 \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$$

↔  $a, b, c$  form closed triangle (unitarity triangle)

↔ deviations from closure parametrise BSM physics

\* At energies  $\ll m_t, m_b$  can effectively work in 2-family approximation:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_c & \sin \theta_c \\ -\sin \theta_c & \cos \theta_c \end{pmatrix} \quad \theta_c: \text{Cabibbo-angle}$$

$$\theta_c \approx 13^\circ$$

\* Wolfenstein parametrisation:

$$V \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & \lambda & A \lambda^3 (p - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2 & A \lambda^2 \\ A \lambda^3 (1 - p - i\eta) & -A \lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} A \approx 1 \\ \lambda \approx \sin \theta_c \\ p, \eta \text{ order } 1 \\ \text{poorly determined} \end{array}$$