

Flavor Physics – Exercise Sheet 6 – SomSem 2014

Discussion: 06/06 during the tutorial

Exercise 1: $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ oscillation probability

Assuming CP invariance the observed K_S and K_L states are given by the following linear combinations of the flavor states,

$$|K_S\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (|K^0\rangle + |\bar{K}^0\rangle),$$
$$|K_L\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (|K^0\rangle - |\bar{K}^0\rangle).$$

The physical states exhibit the time-dependence $|K_{S,L}(t)\rangle = e^{-im_{S,L}t} e^{-i\Gamma_{S,L}t/2} |K_{S,L}\rangle$, where $m_{S,L}$ and $\Gamma_{S,L}$ are the mass and the total decay width of the state.

Derive the time dependent probability $P(K^0(t=0) \rightarrow K^0(t))$ to observe an initial K^0 after time t as K^0 and the probability $P(K^0(t=0) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0(t))$ to observe it in the flavor-mixed \bar{K}^0 state. The formulae were given in the lecture.

Exercise 2: K_S-K_L interference as confirmation for CP violation

In presence of CP violation the physical states K_S and K_L decaying to CP eigenstates can interfere. For a neutral kaon which is produced at $t = 0$ as a K^0 (\bar{K}^0) and propagates freely in vacuum, the time-dependent decay rate to $\pi^+\pi^-$ is given by

$$\Gamma [K^0 (\bar{K}^0) (t=0)] (t) \propto e^{-\Gamma_S t} + |\eta_{\pi\pi}|^2 e^{-\Gamma_L t} \pm 2 |\eta_{\pi\pi}| e^{-(\Gamma_S + \Gamma_L)t/2} \cos(\Delta m t - \phi_{\pi\pi}),$$

where the + (–) sign applies for the K^0 (\bar{K}^0). The complex number $\eta_{\pi\pi} = |\eta_{\pi\pi}| e^{i\phi_{\pi\pi}}$ describes the CP violating amplitude ratio

$$\eta_{\pi\pi} = \frac{\mathcal{A}(K_L \rightarrow \pi\pi)}{\mathcal{A}(K_S \rightarrow \pi\pi)}.$$

- Motivate the above formula for the time dependent decay-rate.
- Read the attached paper: *C. Geweniger et al., Phys. Lett. 48B (1974) 487.*
 - Explain the selection of the $K^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$ events.
 - How is the proper-time distribution in Figure 4 obtained.
 - How do the authors finally obtain $|\eta_{\pi\pi}|$ and the phase $\phi_{\pi\pi}$.

To better understand the detector layout, a second paper describing the apparatus is also added *C. Geweniger et al., Phys. Lett. 48B (1974) 483.*