Quark-Gluon Plasma Physics

4. statistical hadronization and strangeness



Hadronization of the nuclear fireball

the fireball properties can be determined by measurement of the emitted particles in this chapter as first species: hadrons with up,down,strange constituent quarks

strangeness in hadronic reactions

$$egin{aligned} &\mathcal{K}^+ = (uar{s}), \ &\mathcal{K}^- = (ar{u}s), \ &\mathcal{K}^0 = (dar{s}), \ &ar{\mathcal{K}}^0 = (ar{d}s), \ &\phi = (sar{s}), \ &\Lambda = (uds), \ &\Sigma = (qqs), \ &\Xi = (qss), \ &\Omega^- = (sss) \end{aligned}$$

 $p+p
ightarrow p+K^++\Lambda, \quad Q=m_\Lambda+m_{K+}-m_ppprox 670\,{
m MeV}$



 $p+p
ightarrow p+p+\Lambda + ar{\Lambda}, \quad Q=2m_\Lambda pprox 2230\,{
m MeV}$

P.Braun-Munzinger, A. Schmah | QGP physics SS2021 | 4. statistical hadronization and strangeness

strangeness in the QGP



 $Q_{
m QGP}pprox 2m_spprox 200\,{
m MeV}$

strangeness in the QGP

Expectation for strangeness production in heavy ion collisions where QGP is produced:

in QGP strangeness gets into equilibrium on a fast time scale

J. Rafelski, B. Müller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1066

there should be more strangeness in heavy ion collisions than in elementary collisions if a QGP is formed

enhanced production of strange hadrons one of the earliest predicted signature of QGP

but relevant for hadron production is critical temperature of QCD phase transition



ratio of strange quark to baryon number abundance in a QGP for various temperatures

quark composition of the QGP



P.Braun-Munzinger, A. Schmah | QGP physics SS2021 | 4. statistical hadronization and strangeness

chemical freeze-out

nuclear fireball evolves (as sketched in lecture 1) it cools and expands, when it hits T_c, it hadronizes, maybe cools and expands further

and finally falls apart when mean free path large as compared to interparticle distance **"kinetic freeze-out"**: momentum distributions are frozen in - no more elastic scattering { T_{kin}

"chemical" or "hadro-chemical freeze-out": abundancies of hadrons are frozen in

– no more inelastic scattering { T_{cf}

natural ordering: $T_c > T_{cf} > T_{kin}$



T_c is critical temperature of QGP phase transition

thermal energy and population of hadronic states



assume phase space is filled thermally (Boltzmann) at hadronization:

abundance of hadron species $\,\propto m^{3/2} exp(-m/T)$

determined by temperature (and density) at time of production of hadrons = hadronization

P.Braun-Munzinger, A. Schmah | QGP physics SS2021 | 4. statistical hadronization and strangeness

valence strange quarks for different colliding systems

$$\lambda_s = rac{2\langle sar s
angle}{\langle uar u
angle + \langle dar d
angle}$$



strangeness enhancement in Pb-Pb relative to pPb collisions



data from SPS experiments WA97 and NA57, $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 17.3 GeV

strangeness enhancement in Pb-Pb relative to pp and p-Pb data from LHC experiment ALICE, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.0 - 7.0$ TeV



ALICE coll., Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 535-539 arXiv: 1606.07424 [nucl-ex]



identification via time-of-flight plus momentum measurement:

Identification via specific energy loss:

example: ALICE TPC 150 space points per track



Identification via invariant mass of decay products

$$M^{2} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} E_{1} \\ \vec{p}_{1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} E_{2} \\ \vec{p}_{2} \end{pmatrix} \right]^{2} = (E_{1} + E_{2})^{2} - (\vec{p}_{1} + \vec{p}_{2})^{2}$$
$$= m_{1}^{2} + m_{2}^{2} + 2E_{1}E_{2} - 2\vec{p}_{1} \cdot \vec{p}_{2}$$
$$= m_{1}^{2} + m_{2}^{2} + 2E_{q}E_{2} - 2p_{1}p_{2}\cos\vartheta$$

electromagnetic decays:

$\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$	$m_{\pi^0} = 0.135 GeV, BR = 0.988, c\tau = 25.1 nm$
$\eta \to \gamma \gamma$	$m_{\eta} = 0.548 \text{GeV}, \text{ BR} = 0.393, \text{ c}\tau = 0.2 \text{ nm}$

happen practically in the interaction point/target

detect photons in calorimeter or via e+e- from conversion in detector material



Identification via invariant mass of weak decay products

$\mathrm{K}^{0}_{\mathrm{s}} \to \pi^{+} + \pi^{-}$	(B.R.68%)	$c\tau = 2.68 \mathrm{cm}$
$\Lambda \to p + \pi^-$	(B.R.64%)	$c\tau=7.89\mathrm{cm}$

works up to very high momentum!

look for secondary decay vertex of a neutral object a few 10 cm away from interaction point





hadron production in central PbPb collisions at the CERN SPS



measure pt spectra and integrate/extrapolate over all values of pt from 0 to infinity to obtain particle yield

between 5 different experiments a comprehensive data set for 158 A GeV PbPb collisions

particle production in central AA collisions



a summary of 25 years of experimental research

systematic trends with beam energy: mesons rise and level off baryons drop antibaryons rise steeply

can we understand all of these?

4.2 statistical hadronization model and hadron yields

Idea: Freeze-out of the QGP creates an equilibrated hadron resonance gas

The HRG then freezes out with a characteristic temperature T_{ch} which determines the yields of different particle species

What is the appropriate statistical ensemble for the theoretical treatment?

When multiplicity is low, conservation laws must be implemented locally event-by-event (Hagedorn 1971)

Braun-Munzinger, Redlich, Stachel, nucl-th/030401

When number of produced particles large, conservation of additive quantum numbers can be implemented on average (use of chemical potential)

grand-canonical ensemble – large volume limit of canonical thermodynamics



Canonical suppression factor F_s :

$$n_{K}^{C} = n_{K}^{GC} \cdot F_{S}$$
$$F_{S} = \frac{I_{K}(2n_{K}^{GC}V)}{I_{0}(2n_{K}^{GC}V)}$$

- n_{K} : Density of particles with strangeness K = |S|, S =-1, -2, -3
 - In: Modified Bessel function of the first kind

Already at moderately central Pb-Pb collisions the grand canonical ansatz is justified

grand canonical ensemble and application to data from high energy heavy ion collisions

partition function:
$$\ln Z_i = \frac{Vg_i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \pm p^2 dp \ln(1 \pm \exp(-(E_i - \mu_i)/T))$$

particle densities: $n_i = N/V = -\frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial \ln Z_i}{\partial \mu} = \frac{g_i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{p^2 \, \mathrm{d}p}{\exp((E_i - \mu_i)/T) \pm 1}$

for every conserved quantum number there is a chemical potential: $\mu_i = \mu_B B_i + \mu_S S_i + \mu_{I_0} I_i^3$

but can use conservation laws to constrain V, μ_S, μ_{I_3}

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{baryon number:} & V\sum_{i}n_{i}B_{i}=Z+N & \rightarrow V \\ \mbox{strangeness:} & V\sum_{i}n_{i}S_{i}=0 & \rightarrow \mu_{S} \\ \mbox{charge:} & V\sum_{i}n_{i}I_{i}^{3}=\frac{Z-N}{2} & \rightarrow \mu_{I_{3}} \end{array}$

only 2 free parameters left

fit at each energy provides T and μ_b

comparison to experimental data

compute primary thermal occupation probability for each particle species

spectrum of hadrons involves all confirmed hadronic states as of PDG compilation

implement all strong decays according to PDG (example: for T=160 MeV, 80% of all pions come from strong decays)

compute for a grid of (T, μ_b) χ^2 value between statistical ensemble calculation and data

minimize χ^2 to obtain for each beam energy and collision system best set of (T, μ_b)

Hadron yields at the LHC compared to statistical model

Andronic, Braun-Munzinger, Redlich, Stachel, Nature 561 (2018) 321

Yield dN/dy π+ π΄ 10^{3} Pb-Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =2.76 TeV, 0-10% centrality K⁺K[−]K⁰₈ data very well reproduced 10^{2} p p ΛΛ except 2.7 sigma deviation for 10 protons understood in the $\Omega \overline{\Omega}$ mean time d d 10-1 S-matrix correction to 10-2 take account of pion-10-3 Data, ALICE nucleon phase shifts Statistical Hadronization 10-4 Andronic, Braun-Munzinger, Friman, Lo, 10⁻⁵ Redlich, Stachel, arXiv:1808.03102 ^₄He ^⁴He 10⁻⁶ Pb-Pb vs.=2.76 TeV 1.8 Data ALICE 0.10 1.6 Data/Model 2 Data/Fit 1.4 1.2 1.5 0.8 0.6 0.5 Eit- T=156.6 MeV // = 0.7 MeV, V=4175 fm $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}K^{+}K^{-}K^{0}_{s}\phi^{-}p^{-}p^{-}\Lambda^{-}\overline{\Lambda} \equiv \Xi^{+}\Omega^{-}\overline{\Omega}^{+}d^{-}\overline{d}^{-3}He^{3}He^{3}He^{3}He^{4}$ Data-Fit)/σ 1 with S-matrix -2 correction P0 조 코 코 이 전 네 권 3He 3프3 H 3 프 Hote .

P.Braun-Munzinger, A. Schmah | QGP physics SS2021 | 4. statistical hadronization and strangeness

Hadron yields at the LHC – PbPb at 2.76 TeV/nucleon pair



ALICE, arXiv:1506.08951

Production of hadrons and (anti-)nuclei at LHC

1 free parameter: temperature T T = 156.5 ± 1.5 MeV

agreement over 9 orders of magnitude with QCD statistical operator prediction (- strong decays need to be added)

 matter and antimatter are formed in equal portions at LHC
 even large very fragile hypernuclei follow the same systematics Yield per spin d.o.f Pb-Pb $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}$ =2.76 TeV, 0-10% centrality 10³ Data, ALICE 10² particles antiparticles 10 Statistical Hadronization total (after decays) 10 ••••• primordial (thermal) 10-2 10^{-3} 10^{-4} Data/Model 1**0**⁻⁵ 10^{-6} He He He He He 10-7 3.5 2.5 3 1.5 2 Mass (GeV)

A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, J. Stachel, Nature 561 (2018) 321

Beam energy dependence of hadron yields from AGS to LHC

fits work equally well at lower beam energies following the obtained T and I b evolution, features of proton/pion, kaon/pion, deuteron/proton and Lambda/pion ratios reproduced in detail



P.Braun-Munzinger, A. Schmah | QGP physics SS2021 | 4. statistical hadronization and strangeness

the QGP phase diagram, LatticeQCD, and hadron production data

note: data from all coll. at SIS, AGS, SPS, RHIC and LHC each entry is result of years of experiments, variation of μ_b via variation of cm energy



quantitative agreement of chemical freeze-out parameters with most recent LQCD predictions for baryochemical potential < 300 MeV

HotQCD Collaboration, A. Bazavov *et al.*, "Chiral crossover in QCD at zero and non-zero chemical potentials," *Phys. Lett. B* 795 (2019) 15–21, arXiv:1812.08235 [hep-lat].

S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, J. N. Guenther, R. Kara, S. D. Katz, P. Parotto, A. Pasztor, C. Ratti, and K. K. Szabo, "QCD Crossover at Finite Chemical Potential from Lattice Simulations," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** no. 5, (2020) 052001, arXiv:2002.02821 [hep-lat].

cross over transition at µ_B = 0 MeV, no experimental confirmation

should the transition be 1st order for large μ_B (large net baryon density)?

then there must be a critical endpoint in the phase diagram

experimental determination of phase boundary at $T_c = 156.6 \pm 1.7$ (stat.) ± 3 (syst.) MeV and $\mu_b = 0$ MeV Nature 561 (2018) 321 2-particle collisions not enough - takes about one order of magnitude too long

even when system is initialized in equilibrium at T = 170 MeV, it falls out of equilibrium quickly

simple example:

use a data driven estimate of rate of cooling

near chemical freeze-out (can be explained later) $|\dot{T}/T| = \tau_T^{-1} = (13 \pm 1)\%/\text{fm}$ typical densities at $T_{ch} : \rho_{\pi} = 0.174/\text{fm}^3(\text{incl.res.}), \rho_K = 0.030/\text{fm}^3\rho_{\Omega} = 0.0003/\text{fm}^3$ to maintain equilibrium during 5 MeV temperature drop need a relative rate of change of densities of $|\frac{\bar{r}_{\Omega}}{n_{\Omega}} - \frac{\bar{r}_{K}}{n_{K}}| = \tau_{\Omega}^{-1} - \tau_{K}^{-1} = 1.10 - 0.55/\text{fm} = 0.55/\text{fm}$

so/ density needs to change by 100 % in 1 fm/c typical reactions with large cross section (10 mb) and rel. velocities of 0.6 give

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Omega + \bar{K} \to \Xi + \pi & \to & \bar{r}_{\Omega}/n_{\Omega} = n_{\bar{K}} \langle v\sigma \rangle = 0.018 / \mathrm{fm} \\ \pi + \pi \to K + \bar{K} & (\sigma = 3 \mathrm{mb}) & \bar{r}_{K}/n_{K} = 0.18 / \mathrm{fm} \end{array}$

much too slow to maintain equilibrium even over drop of T of 5 MeV! much harder to get into equilibrium!

A possible scenario for rapid equilibration

P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel, C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B596 (2004) 61

near phase boundary multiparticle reactions become important dynamics associated with collective excitations (key word: critical opalescence at phase transition) propagation and scattering of these collective excitations expressed in form of multihadron scattering

will see: this drives the system into equilibrium very rapidly

Evaluation of multi-strange baryon yield as most challenging test case

consider situation at T_{ch} = 176 MeV first rate of change of density for n_{in} ingoing and n_{out} outgoing particles

$$r(n_{in}, n_{out}) = \bar{n}(\mathbf{T})^{n_{in}} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \phi$$

 $\phi = \prod_{k=1}^{n_{out}} \left(\int \frac{d^3 p_k}{(2\pi)^3 (2E_k)} \right) (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 \left(\sum_k p_k^\mu \right)$

the phase space factor Φ depends on \sqrt{s} needs to be weighted by the probability f(s) that multi-particle scattering occurs at a given value of \sqrt{s} evaluate numerically in Monte-Carlo using thermal momentum distribution typical reactions

 $\Omega + \bar{N} \to 2\pi + 3K \qquad \qquad p + \bar{p} \to 5\pi$

assume cross section equal to the measured one for

 $2\pi + 3K \to \bar{N} + \Omega$

at proper energy above threshold, i.e. $\sqrt{s} = 3.25 \text{ GeV} \sigma = 6.4 \text{ mb}$

compute matrix element and use for rate of

 $r_{\Omega} = n_{\pi}^5 (n_K/n_{\pi})^3 |\mathcal{M}|^2 \phi$

Evaluation of multi-strange baryon yield

reaction $2\pi + 3K \rightarrow \overline{N} + \Omega$ leads to $r_{\Omega} = 0.00014 \text{fm}^{-4}$ or $r_{\Omega}/n_{\Omega} = 1/\tau_{\Omega} = 0.46/\text{fm}$

can achieve final density starting from only pions and kaons at $\tau = 0$ in an interval of $\tau_{\Omega} = 2.2$ fm/c

similarly one obtains

for $3\pi + 2K \rightarrow \Xi + \overline{\Lambda}$ that $\tau_{\Xi} = 0.71 \, \text{fm}$

and

for $4\pi + K \rightarrow \Lambda + \bar{N}$ that $\tau_{\Lambda} = 0.66 \,\mathrm{fm}$

why do all particle yields show one common freeze-out T?

density of particles varies rapidly (factor 2 within 8 MeV) with T near the phase transition due to increase in degrees of freedom.

also: system spends time at T_c

volume has to triple (entropy cons.)

multi-particle collisions are strongly enhanced at high density and lead to chem. equilibrium very near to T_c independent of cross section

all particles freeze out within narrow temperature interval



Lattice QCD by F. Karsch et al. priv. communication

Density dependence of characteristic time for multi-strange baryon production



- near phase transition particle density varies rapidly with T (see previous slide)
 for SPS energies and above reaction
- for SPS energies and above reaction such as $2\pi + KKK \rightarrow \Omega$ Nbar bring multistrange baryons close to equilibrium rapidly
- in region around T_c equilibration time
- $\rightarrow \tau_{\Omega}$ is proportional to T-60!
 - increase n_{π} by 1/3: $\tau = 0.2$ fm/c (corresponds to increase in T by 8 MeV) decrease n_{π} by 1/3: $\tau = 27$ fm/c all particles freeze out within a very narrow temperature window due to the extreme temperature sensitivity of multi-particle reactions

in the early universe freeze-out happened after order of 0.1 s

P.Braun-Munzinger, J. Wambach, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81 (2009)1031



isentropic expansion and full chemical equilibrium between hadrons, leptons, photons

plus: charge neutrality net lepton number = net baryon number constant entropy per baryon

> 75% p 2 10⁻⁵ d 8 10^{-5 3}He 24.5% ⁴He 1.5 10^{-10 7}Li

while at LHC factor 300 for every nucleon added

hadro-chemical freeze-out quite different at 0.1 s and $\mu_B \approx 0.9$ GeV