



# Laser-based precision spectroscopy and the optical frequency comb technique<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Alternatively: Why did Hänsch win the Noble prize?

Dr. Björn Hessmo Physikalisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg

Heidelberg, 15 October 2005, Björn Hessmo, hessmo@physi.uni-heidelberg.de

## The Nobel prize in physics for 2005

The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences has decided to award the Nobel Prize in Physics for 2005 with one half to

**Roy J. Glauber** Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA "for his contribution to the quantum theory of optical coherence"

and one half jointly to

John L. Hall

JILA, University of Colorado and National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, CO, USA and

Theodor W. Hänsch

Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Garching and Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany "for their contributions to the development of laser-based precision spectroscopy, including the optical frequency comb techna

To understand the second half of the prize we need to learn more about two things:

What is "laser-based precision spectroscopy"?What is the "optical frequency comb technique"?

Heidelberg, 15 October 2005, Björn Hessmo, hessmo@physi.uni-heidelberg.de



#### Definition of second:

- Originally defined in 1889 as the fraction 1/86 400 of the mean solar day. (not good because of irregularities in Earth's rotation)
- Redefined in 1956 to 1/31 556 925.9747 of the length of the tropical year for 1900. (difficult to measure, long measurement times)
- Redefined in 1967 to an atomic reference.

Some adavantages with atomic reference:

- **1.** Fast oscillation (compared to the tropical year).
- **2.** Identical atoms make it easy to "copy" the reference  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{e}}$
- 3. Precise spectroscopic methods can be used to obtain time



One second is defined as the duration of 9 192 631 770 cycles of microwave light absorbed or emitted by the hyperfine transition of cesium-133 atoms in their ground state undisturbed by external fields.



9 192 631 770 2 3 1 second •Direct counting gives an uncertainty of  $\sim 10^{-10}$ How accurately can the position of the peak be determined? •Improved peak positioning gives  $\sim 10^{-12}$ Estimate: •Interferometric techniques and signal averaging  $\sim 1/100$ th of the oscillation gives a final uncertainty of  $\sim 10^{-15}$ 

Corresponds to a 1 second drift in 30 million years



For increased accuracy a faster oscillator is needed!





Microwave electronics is highly developed technology!



Optical oscillators!

Green light: 532 nm corresponds to an oscillating frequency o

### 563000 GHz!

Clock improvement with a factor  $10^5$ !



Unfortunately, no electronics can handle this speed...



Laser-based precision spectroscopy

Atoms can once more be used as references to stabilize the frequency of laser light.



Tune the laser wavelength to an *optical transition* within an atom Laser frequencies have been stabilized to within <10 mHz. Comparing this to the frequency 500 THz one obtains a frequency uncertainty of  $< 10^{-17}$ !

This would be a nice clock... But the problem remains:

How to count the "tick-tacks" of this clock?

Solution: An optical frequency comb.







•Tune the laser to remove f<sub>0</sub>. This is done by "self-reference".
•Removes all dependences of the laser, and generates a very uniform frequency comb.
•Need a full octave in the frequency spectrum (non-trivial)



iv is a famer large number ~10<sup>2</sup>

This provides a direct link between the **optical frequency** and a **radio frequency**! Counting of a radio field is simple, and the stability is inherited from the optical clock...

Comparing the spectrum of a reference laser with a frequency comb. f<sub>laser</sub>, 2f<sub>laser</sub> Frequency up-conversion Convert two red photons into one blue -20 -10 0 10 20 photon.  $2f_{red} = f_{blue}$ Count fringes!



•<u>Precision laser spectroscopy</u> allows us to define optical frequency standards superior to the present Cesium time standard.

•The <u>optical frequency comb</u> allows us to transfer the stability of an optical reference to other frequencies where we can use electronics to handle signals.

•Is it time to redefine the second once more ? Time will tell...